

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/980,647	<b>Applicant(s)</b> VAN DER GREEF ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Leon Y. Lum	<b>Art Unit</b> 1641	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** Pending

 (1) Leon Y. Lum.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Agata Glinska.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 23 February 2006
**Time:** 9:00am EST
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

N/A

Prior art documents discussed:


N/A

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Applicants' representative stated that a reply to the Office Action mailed August 22, 2005 has not been filed and that the case can be abandoned.*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)